

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

SE	RIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08.	/133,986	10/12/93	JOHNSON			7709. 18US03	
					BAYERL, R		
JO	-N P. SUMN	FR	E3M1/0316		AST WAT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEI	RCHANT, GO	ULD, SMITH	EDELL,		ART UNIT	PAPER NOMBER	
	_TER & SCH DO NORWEST	2301	11				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 DATE MAILED:							
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. 03/16/94 COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS							
/			,				
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 21 Jan 1994 This action is made final.							
shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.							
allure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133							
art I	THE FOLLOWING	G ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:				
1. [Notice of Referen	nces Cited by Examir	er, PTO-892. 2.	☐ Notice re	Patent Drawing, P	TO-948.	
3. L 5. [ed by Applicant, PTO			informal Patent Ap	pplication, Form PTO-152.	
4							
art Ii	SUMMARY OF #	CTION 1) C	50				
1.	Claims	72	- 37		 .	are pending in the application.	
	Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.						
2. 5	Claims	1-44,	60			have been cancelled.	
	Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
		5 47-5	5,59			are allowed.	
طر 4 د	Claims	1)657	/~ O				
5.	•	46,56					
6.	Claims			ar	e subject to restri	ction or election requirement.	
7.	This application t	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C:F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.					
8.	Formal drawings	are required in respo	ense to this Office action.				
9.	The corrected or are accepta	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).					
10.	The proposed adexaminer. di	ditional or substitute sapproved by the exa	sheet(s) of drawings, filed on aminer (see explanation).		has (have) bee	n approved by the	
11.	The proposed dra	awing correction, filed	d on, has t	oeen 🗌 appr	oved. 🗆 disapp	roved (see explanation).	
12. 🗆	Acknowledgment	is made of the claim	for priority under U.S.C. 119. Th	ne certified cop	y has Deen re	eceived not been received	
	Deen filed in p	parent application, se	rial no	; filed on			
13.	Since this applica accordance with t	tion appears to be in the practice under Ex	condition for allowance except parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 45	for formal matt 3 O.G. 213.	ters, prosecution a	s to the merits is closed in	
14.	Other						
	•						
			•				
				1		•	

EXAMINER'S ACTION

-2-

Serial Number: 08/133,986

Art Unit: 2301

1. Statutory basis for the following rejection under 35 USC 103 has been given as paragraph 4 of the previous Office Action (paper #7, mailed 10 December 1993).

- 2. Claims 45, 47 55, 59 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Read Me First, CWC, Inc., June 1988, for the reasons given in paragraph 5 of the previous Office Action.
- 3. Claims 46, 56 58 are objected to for depending upon rejected claim 45, but would be submitted for Issue if placed in independent claim form. The reasons are those given in paragraph 6 of the previous Office Action.
- Applicant's response to the Section 103 rejection, as 4. made in the previous Office Action, is contained in the communications of 21 Jan 1994, which consist of an affidavit attempting to remove the Read Me First publication as a reference under 35 USC 102(b) and a request for reconsideration based upon the affidavit's sworn statement of the inventor, "President and co-founder" of the corporation which originated the manual, that although it bears a date of "6/88", a prima facie indication of a Section 102(b) publication, it was not made "available to the public, or to third parties not under a confidentiality agreement with CWC" [Examiner's emphasis] any earlier than 13 November 1988, one year before effective US Filing. The request for reconsideration further argues "that the Read Me First manuals were published by Applicant" and thus they do not qualify under 35 USC 102(a) as "acts of 'others'".

Serial Number: 08/133,986

Art Unit: 2301

Initially, the Examiner notes that since the affidavit is being filed as a written declaration under 37 CFR 1.131, and thus is "required by any law, rule, or other regulation to be under oath" pursuant to 35 USC 25, it must contain the Statutory requirement of a warning to "the declarant that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both (18 USC 1001)" (35 USC 25(b)). The affidavit is defective for lack of this warning.

-3-

Even were the requirements of 35 USC 25 to be met, however, the 21 Jan 1994 affidavit, while stating that the Read Me First manual was not made generally public prior to 13 November 1988, does not establish whether, under 35 USC 102(b), the "invention" set forth in that manual "was...in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to the date of the Application for Patent in the United States" [emphasis added]. Since the manual bears a "6-88" date, it appears that it was available before 13 November 1988 for certain users of the system it supports, such as "third parties" which were "under a confidentiality agreement".

MPEP 2125.03, in providing the Examiner guidance on this aspect of Statute, notes that "there is no requirement that 'on sale' activity be 'public'". Thus, if any manner of "on sale" activity regarding the invention of the manual took place prior to one year before the filing date in the United States, the Read Me First manual serves as evidence of a 35 USC 102(b)

Serial Number: 08/133,986

Art Unit: 2301

statutory bar, even if it was not released to the public at large until a later date, and continues to form a valid basis of the rejection under 35 USC 103.

The affidavit further states that, to the inventor's knowledge and belief, "the invention set forth in the claims" was not "in public use or on sale in this country" "for more than one year prior to November 13, 1989" [again, Examiner's emphasis]. However, the rejection is under 35 USC 103, which is a requirement for non-obviousness as well as novelty. Even if no "on sale" activity of the "invention set forth in the claims" took place, an "invention" which renders "the claims" obvious might still have been sold before 13 November 1988.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE

Serial Number: 08/133,986

Art Unit: 2301

-5-

STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Bayerl, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9789. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2301

15 March 1994